

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

JUN 20 1991

In re Application of Hiroshi Hamada, et al Serial No. 07/340,777

Filed: April 20, 1989

For: LICUID CRYSTAL ACTIVE-:

MATRIX DISPLAY DEVICE :

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

AND DECISION ON PETITION

UNDER 37 CFR 1.312A

JUN 80 1891

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE GROUP 250

This is a decision on the Request for Reconsideration filed May 22, 1991, of the Decision mailed April 23, 1991, denying consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement (I.D.S.) filed March 22, 1991.

The Request for Reconsideration is granted to the extent that the I.D.S. filed March 22, 1991, has been considered under 37 CFR 1.312A; however, the I.D.S. will not be considered by the Examiner.

Responsive to the Request for Reconsideration of Basis of Denial for Petition filed May 22, 1991, Petitioner is correct that the I.D.S. filed March 22, 1991, should not have been considered under 37 CFR 1.312(b) since the issue fee was not paid until April 24, 1991. However, the I.D.S. filed March 22, 1991, does not meet the requirement of MPEP Section 609 for the reasons set forth in the Decision on Petition mailed April 23, 1991, and acquiesced to by petitioner in the request for reconsideration.

The I.D.S. filed March 22, 1991, has been placed in the abovementioned filed, but has not been considered.

Joseph J. Rolla, Jr., Director

Examining Group 250

Electronic And Optical Systems

and Devices

JJR/dpl

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch 301 North Washington St. P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, Va 22046-0747